

Columbia University: Professional Studies

Summer Sessions 2021 Evaluations - Session B and X

Course: INAFS6820_001_2021_2-INTLPOLITICALECONOMY: INAFS6820_001_2021_2 - INTL POLITICAL ECONOMY
Instructor: James Bisbee
Response Rate: 11/19 (57.89%)

1 - What is your overall assessment of this course?

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Poor | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.55 | | |
| Fair | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | |
| Good | (3) | 1 | 9.09% | | | | |
| Very Good | (4) | 3 | 27.27% | | | | |
| Excellent | (5) | 7 | 63.64% | | | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median | |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.55 | 0.69 | 5.00 | |

2 - What are the course's strengths? In what ways might it be improved? In answering this question, you might address the value of readings and assignments, the structure of the course, the contribution of the course to your knowledge of the subject matter and to the development of your analytical and reasoning skills, etc. We encourage you to use specific examples where possible.

| Response Rate | 11/19 (57.89%) |
|--|----------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • combines the politics and economy very well. • We can learn various material in lessons. But the speed is too fast so it is hard for me to understand all. • lectures are good • It combines economic theories and the realities of politics. This gives student real practical knowledge in trying to figure out all that is happening in the world. • This was an amazing course both in terms of amount and quality of covered material and also in terms of methodology used by instructor. Students readings and presentations on topic related academic papers helped not only to understand the topics well but also provided empirical evidence supporting or sometimes contradicting to the theory. • The strength of the course is the Professor. He teaches well and involves us in all discussions. I understand many concepts in IPE now because we were pushed to think critically. I just wish we had more time to cover more material! • Maybe because shorten time for summer, it would be better to move it slowly, and explain some terms more detailed. • Teacher is engaging and knowledgeable. Topics are readings are relevant and interesting. • Professor is very good, everything is well explained and very interesting. • For students who have been dealing with economics, political science, and international relations, I feel that the strength of this class is that it allows them to acquire a multilayered way of thinking by presenting a framework for thinking that analyzes international economics and finance under the different system of international political economy. The literature and papers covered in the class were also very interesting, and I learned a lot from them because they were very good at bridging the theoretical aspects with individual empirical issues. • The professor was very approachable and understanding. | |

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

The learning goals and structure were made apparent to me.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.55 | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 1 | 9.09% | | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 3 | 27.27% | | | | |
| Strongly Agree | (5) | 7 | 63.64% | | | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median | |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.55 | 0.69 | 5.00 | |

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Sessions 2021 Evaluations - Session B and X

Course: INAFS6820_001_2021_2-INTLPOLITICALECONOMY: INAFS6820_001_2021_2 - INTL POLITICAL ECONOMY

Instructor: James Bisbee

Response Rate: 11/19 (57.89%)

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

The course materials (readings, videos, handouts) helped me achieve the course's learning goals

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | |
|----------------------|--------|-------------|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.55 | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 2 | 18.18% | ■ | | |
| Agree | (4) | 1 | 9.09% | ■ | | |
| Strongly Agree | (5) | 8 | 72.73% | ■ | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | |
| Response Rate | | Mean | | STD | | Median |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | 4.55 | | 0.82 | | 5.00 |

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

The learning activities (discussions, live sessions, projects, group work) helped me achieve the course's learning goals.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | |
|----------------------|--------|-------------|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.73 | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 3 | 27.27% | ■ | | |
| Strongly Agree | (5) | 8 | 72.73% | ■ | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | |
| Response Rate | | Mean | | STD | | Median |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | 4.73 | | 0.47 | | 5.00 |

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

Course assignments (exams, quizzes, papers) helped me to achieve the course's learning goals.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | |
|----------------------|--------|-------------|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.64 | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 1 | 9.09% | ■ | | |
| Agree | (4) | 2 | 18.18% | ■ | | |
| Strongly Agree | (5) | 8 | 72.73% | ■ | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | |
| Response Rate | | Mean | | STD | | Median |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | 4.64 | | 0.67 | | 5.00 |

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

I would recommend this course to another student.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | |
|----------------------|--------|-------------|---------|-------------------|----------|---------------|
| Strongly Disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.64 | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 1 | 9.09% | ■ | | |
| Agree | (4) | 2 | 18.18% | ■ | | |
| Strongly Agree | (5) | 8 | 72.73% | ■ | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | |
| Response Rate | | Mean | | STD | | Median |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | 4.64 | | 0.67 | | 5.00 |

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Sessions 2021 Evaluations - Session B and X

Course: INAFS6820_001_2021_2-INTLPOLITICALECONOMY: INAFS6820_001_2021_2 - INTL POLITICAL ECONOMY
Instructor: James Bisbee
Response Rate: 11/19 (57.89%)

| 4 - What did you learn - in terms of knowledge, skills or perspectives - in this course? | |
|---|----------------|
| Response Rate | 11/19 (57.89%) |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regowski's model • High level economic knowledge. • perspectives and approaches to studying international political economy • I now understand that policies or events create winners and losers in the world economy, and there is a constant battle between them to keep the status quo or to change. • Looking at familiar economic topics and issues from political perspective is of a great value for me, because as a policy maker, sometimes not only rational and specific economic models should be taken into account, but also political aspects and interests of the society. • I learnt major concepts of IPE so that I can be fluent when talking to political economists! • The analytical perspective to learn IPE. • I came in with little to no knowledge of IPE, so the course was eye opening. • International economic political analysis. All related to schools of thought, trade and effect of fiscal and monetary policy over the exchange rate market and monetary base. • Perspective of international political economy, especially how we analyze the economy and trade from this perspective. • I learned a lot of economics in terms of the political sector. | |

| 5 - How many hours a week did you devote to this course? (Note: Please include all time spent on this class outside of class time - readings, assignments, studying, etc.) | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | |
| 0-2 | (1) | 1 | 9.09% | | | 3.73 |
| 2-4 | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| 4-6 | (3) | 4 | 36.36% | | | |
| 6-8 | (4) | 2 | 18.18% | | | |
| 8+ | (5) | 4 | 36.36% | | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 3.73 | 1.27 | 4.00 |

| 6 - What is your overall assessment of the effectiveness of the instructor? | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | |
| Poor | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | | 4.55 |
| Fair | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Good | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Very good | (4) | 5 | 45.45% | | | |
| Excellent | (5) | 6 | 54.55% | | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.55 | 0.52 | 5.00 |

Columbia University: Professional Studies

Summer Sessions 2021 Evaluations - Session B and X

Course: INAFS6820_001_2021_2-INTLPOLITICALECONOMY: INAFS6820_001_2021_2 - INTL POLITICAL ECONOMY

Instructor: James Bisbee

Response Rate: 11/19 (57.89%)

7 - Please evaluate your faculty instructor. What are the instructor's strengths? In what ways might their teaching be improved? In answering this question, you might address the clarity of the lectures or presentations and their relationship to the other elements of the course, the ability of the instructor to generate enthusiasm and facilitate discussion, the quality of feedback, availability, the timeliness of the return of assignments, etc.

| Response Rate | 11/19 (57.89%) |
|---|----------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • illustrate well. but there seems to be to much content each week so that we cannot finish all of them. • James is good lecturer, he is good at asking the questions to students in his lesson. But the speed is too fast so it is hard for me to find the time reviewing. • great lecturer, very enthusiastic and knowledgeable about the topic, caring and understanding to students. highly recommend! • An excellent professor. Very open minded, allows students to share their experience and thoughts, and even disagree with him. In short, he promotes critical thinking. He has managed to make me change the way I analyse the world. Very happy that I had the privilege to learn from him. So far this course has been the best and most relevant for me in SIPA. • Professor Bisbee is an amazing teacher. His skills to deliver knowledge to the students helps a lot to the earning process. He encourages in class and out of the class discussion through different methods (assigned readings, in class questions etc.). Overall I'd recommend this class and especially if Professor Bisbee will teach it! • The Professor is very responsive to the students needs and recognized our learning goals well. His passion for teaching is apparent and he is always able to stimulate discussions in class. The readings were interesting (but long!) and the student presentations were an interesting way to get us to analyze academic papers. The feedback was always instant but encouraging. I have always felt extremely comfortable to speak up in class. • It is easy to approach. Really nice. • Keeps the subject matter interesting and shows a lot of enthusiasm. • Professor is very good, everything is well explained and very interesting. He generates enthusiasm and class discussions. • Instructors are very good at conveying a wide range of knowledge in a straightforward and easy-to-understand manner. In addition, I felt that the flexibility of the lecture content was also a characteristic of the instructor, as he was able to develop a class that all students could follow, while at the same time satisfying students with prior knowledge, despite the fact that there were large differences in prerequisite knowledge of political science and economics. • I really liked how engaging he was. | |

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.

The instructor's teaching methods helped me achieve the course's learning goals.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.64 | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 4 | 36.36% | | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 7 | 63.64% | | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.64 | 0.50 | 5.00 |

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.

The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.73 | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 3 | 27.27% | | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 8 | 72.73% | | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.73 | 0.47 | 5.00 |

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Sessions 2021 Evaluations - Session B and X

Course: INAFS6820_001_2021_2-INTLPOLITICALECONOMY: INAFS6820_001_2021_2 - INTL POLITICAL ECONOMY

Instructor: James Bisbee

Response Rate: 11/19 (57.89%)

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.

The instructor encouraged useful participation and collaboration with fellow students through discussion and other activities.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.73 | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 3 | 27.27% | ■ | | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 8 | 72.73% | ■■■■■ | | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median | |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.73 | 0.47 | 5.00 | |

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.

I understood how the instructor graded my assignments.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.64 | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 4 | 36.36% | ■ | | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 7 | 63.64% | ■■■■■ | | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median | |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.64 | 0.50 | 5.00 | |

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.

The instructor provided timely and useful feedback on my assignments.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.45 | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 1 | 9.09% | ■ | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 4 | 36.36% | ■ | | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 6 | 54.55% | ■■■■■ | | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median | |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.45 | 0.69 | 5.00 | |

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.

The instructor was available via email and/or office hours for one-on-one consultation.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|------------|---------------|--|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | 4.55 | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 1 | 9.09% | ■ | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 3 | 27.27% | ■ | | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 7 | 63.64% | ■■■■■ | | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | STD | Median | |
| 11/19 (57.89%) | | | | 4.55 | 0.69 | 5.00 | |

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Sessions 2021 Evaluations - Session B and X

Course: INAFS6820_001_2021_2-INTLPOLITICALECONOMY: INAFS6820_001_2021_2 - INTL POLITICAL ECONOMY
Instructor: James Bisbee
Response Rate: 11/19 (57.89 %)

| 9 - What is your overall assessment of the effectiveness of the teaching assistant? | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------|------------|-----|---------------|--|--|
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | | | | |
| Poor | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | _0.00_ | | | | | |
| Fair | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Good | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Very good | (4) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Excellent | (5) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 100 | Question | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | | STD | | Median | | |
| 0/19 (0.00%) | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |

| 10 - Please evaluate your teaching assistant. What are the TA's strengths? In what ways might their teaching be improved? In answering this question, you might address the clarity of the lectures or presentations and their relationship to the other elements of the course, the ability of the TA to generate enthusiasm and facilitate discussion, the quality of feedback, availability, the timeliness of the return of assignments, etc. | |
|---|-----------|
| Response Rate | 0/19 (0%) |

| 11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------|------------|-----|---------------|--|--|
| The TA's teaching methods helped me achieve the course's learning goals. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | | | | |
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | _0.00_ | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| N/A | (0) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 100 | Question | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | | STD | | Median | | |
| 0/19 (0.00%) | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |

| 11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------|---------|-------------------|--------|------------|-----|---------------|--|--|
| The TA was knowledgeable in the subject area. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means | | | | | |
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | _0.00_ | | | | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Agree | (4) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| N/A | (0) | 0 | 0.00% | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 0 | 25 | 50 | 100 | Question | | |
| Response Rate | | | | Mean | | STD | | Median | | |
| 0/19 (0.00%) | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | |

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Sessions 2021 Evaluations - Session B and X

Course: INAFS6820_001_2021_2-INTLPOLITICALECONOMY: INAFS6820_001_2021_2 - INTL POLITICAL ECONOMY

Instructor: James Bisbee

Response Rate: 11/19 (57.89 %)

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

The TA encouraged useful participation and collaboration with fellow students through discussion and other activities.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Agree | (4) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| N/A | (0) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question |
| Response Rate | | | Mean | STD | Median |
| 0/19 (0.00%) | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

I understood how the TA graded my assignments.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Agree | (4) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| N/A | (0) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question |
| Response Rate | | | Mean | STD | Median |
| 0/19 (0.00%) | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

The TA provided timely and useful feedback on my assignments.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Agree | (4) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| N/A | (0) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question |
| Response Rate | | | Mean | STD | Median |
| 0/19 (0.00%) | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

The TA was available via email and/or office hours for one-on-one consultation.

| Response Option | Weight | Frequency | Percent | Percent Responses | Means |
|----------------------|--------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Strongly disagree | (1) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Disagree | (2) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Uncertain | (3) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Agree | (4) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| Strongly agree | (5) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| N/A | (0) | 0 | 0.00% | | |
| | | | | 0 25 50 100 | Question |
| Response Rate | | | Mean | STD | Median |
| 0/19 (0.00%) | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Sessions 2021 Evaluations - Session B and X

Course: INAFS6820_001_2021_2-INTLPOLITICALECONOMY: INAFS6820_001_2021_2 - INTL POLITICAL ECONOMY
Instructor: James Bisbee
Response Rate: 11/19 (57.89%)
